

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: July 25, 2023

* * * * *		UNPUBLISHED
LEILA M. HUBBARD, <i>as parent and,</i>	*	
<i>natural guardian, on behalf of J.B.B.,</i>	*	
<i>a minor,</i>	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 20-0981V
	*	Special Master Sanders
v.	*	
	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * *		

*Robert D. Proffitt*, Proffitt & Cox, LLP, Columbia, SC, for Petitioner.

*Ronalda E. Kosh*, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On August 6, 2020, Leila Hubbard ("Petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> ("Vaccine Program" or "Program"). 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 to 34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that the Hepatitis B; diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis ("DTaP"); pneumococcal conjugate ("PCV"); haemophilus influenzae type B ("Hib"); and Hepatitis A vaccines her child, J.B.B., received between October 31, 2017 and May 2, 2019, caused him to "suffer[] persistent and recurring sterile abscesses and related conditions in his right and left thighs where the vaccines were administered . . . ." Pet. ¶¶ 5–6, ECF No. 1. On

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned intends to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa.

May 6, 2022, the Respondent filed his proffer in which the undersigned adopted as her decision awarding compensation on May 31, 2022. (ECF No. 32).

On January 18, 2023, Petitioner filed an application for final attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 38). ("Fees App."). Petitioner requests total attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$61,195.65, representing \$59,842.00 in attorneys' fees and \$1,353.65 in attorneys' costs. Fees App. at 4. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner has indicated that she has not personally incurred any costs related to her petition. *Id.* at 6. Respondent responded to the motion on February 1, 2023, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case" and requesting that the undersigned "exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." Resp't's Resp. at 2. (ECF No. 39). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

This matter is now ripe for consideration.

## **I. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs**

Section 15(e) (1) of the Vaccine Act allows for the Special Master to award "reasonable attorneys' fees, and other costs." § 300aa-15(e)(1)(A)–(B). Petitioners are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs if they are entitled to compensation under the Vaccine Act, or, even if they are unsuccessful, they are eligible so long as the Special Master finds that the petition was filed in good faith and with a reasonable basis. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Here, because Petitioner was awarded compensation pursuant to a stipulation, she is entitled to a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

It is "well within the special master's discretion" to determine the reasonableness of fees. *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521–22 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *see also Hines v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 22 Cl. Ct. 750, 753 (1991). ("[T]he reviewing court must grant the special master wide latitude in determining the reasonableness of both attorneys' fees and costs."). Applications for attorneys' fees must include contemporaneous and specific billing records that indicate the work performed and the number of hours spent on said work. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316–18 (2008).

Reasonable hourly rates are determined by looking at the "prevailing market rate" in the relevant community. *See Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 895 (1984). The "prevailing market rate" is akin to the rate "in the community for similar services by lawyers of reasonably comparable skill, experience and reputation." *Id.* at 895, n.11. The petitioner bears the burden of providing adequate evidence to prove that the requested hourly rate is reasonable. *Id.*

### **a. Reasonable Hourly Rates**

Petitioner requests the following rates of compensation for her attorney, Mr. Robert Proffitt: \$385.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$400.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, \$425.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, and \$450.00 for work performed in 2022 and 2023. The rates requested for the years 2019 – 2021 rates have previously been awarded for his

Vaccine Program work and the undersigned finds them to be reasonable. The undersigned also finds the requested rates for 2022 – 23 to be reasonable and shall award them herein.

**b. Reasonable Hours Expended**

Attorneys' fees are awarded for the "number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation." *Avera*, 515 F.3d at 1348. Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton*, 3 F.3d at 1521 (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). Additionally, it is well-established that billing for administrative/clerical tasks is not permitted in the Vaccine Program. *Rochester v. United States*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Arranga v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 02-1616V, 2018 WL 2224959, at \*3 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 12, 2018).

The overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The undersigned has reviewed the billing entries and finds that they adequately describe the work done on the case and the amount of time spent on that work. None of the entries appear objectionable, nor has Respondent identified any entries as objectionable. Accordingly, Petitioner is awarded final attorneys' fees in the amount of \$59,842.00.

**c. Attorneys' Costs**

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of attorneys' costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests a total of \$1,353.65 in attorneys' costs. Fees App. at 4. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, and the Court's filing fee. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting all of her requested costs and Respondent also has not identified any particular costs as objectionable. Petitioner is therefore awarded the full amount of costs sought.

**II. Conclusion**

In accordance with the Vaccine Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e) (2012), the undersigned has reviewed the billing records and costs in this case and finds that Petitioner's request for fees and costs is reasonable. The undersigned finds that it is reasonable to compensate Petitioner and her counsel as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$59,842.00
(Reduction to Fees)	-
<b>Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded</b>	<b>\$59,842.00</b>
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$1,353.65
(Reduction to Costs)	-
<b>Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded</b>	<b>\$1,353.65</b>
<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>\$61,195.65</b>

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards a lump sum in the amount of \$61,195.65, representing reimbursement for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel of record, Mr. Robert D. Proffitt.**

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/ Hebrina Sanders**

Hebrina Sanders

Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).